Dalton **Transactions**

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: Dalton Trans., 2016, 45 5941

Received 5th October 2015, Accepted 17th November 2015 DOI: 10.1039/c5dt03894d

<www.rsc.org/dalton>

Abnormal carbene–silicon halide complexes†

Yuzhong Wang,^a Yaoming Xie,^b Pingrong Wei,^a Henry F. Schaefer, III^b and Gregory H. Robinson*^a

Reaction of the anionic N-heterocyclic dicarbene (NHDC), [:C{[N(2,6-Prⁱ₂C₆H₃)]₂CHCLi}]_n (1), with SiCl₄ gives the trichlorosilylsubstituted (at the C4 carbon) N-heterocyclic carbene complex (7). Abnormal carbene–SiCl₄ complex (8) may be conveniently synthesized by combining 7 with HCl·NEt₃. In addition, 7 may react with CH_2Cl_2 in warm hexane, giving the abnormal carbenecomplexed $SiCl₃⁺$ cation (9). The nature of the bonding in 9 was probed with complementary DFT computations.

Low-oxidation-state main-group chemistry has made enormous strides over the past few decades. $1-7$ Sterically demanding ligands have usually been employed to stabilize these unusual main-group species. N-heterocyclic carbenes, as potent σ-donor ligands, have played a major role in these recent advances.5–¹³ For instance, a series of unusual zero-oxidation-state E_n (n = 1, E = C,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Si,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Ge;^{20,21} n = 2, E = B,²² $C_1^{23,24}$ Si,^{25,26} Ge,²⁷ Sn,²⁸ P,^{29,30} As,³¹ and Sb³²) species have been isolated via carbene-stabilization. These syntheses usually involve alkali (or alkaline earth) metal reduction of the corresponding carbene-complexed EX_n (X = halides). Notably, both N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHC, I in Fig. 1) and cyclic (alkyl)(amino)carbenes³³ (CAAC, IV in Fig. 1) have been exten-

Fig. 1 Imidazole-based carbenes (I–III) and CAAC (IV).

^aDepartment of Chemistry, The University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602-2556, USA. E-mail: robinson@uga.edu

^bCenter for Computational Chemistry, The University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602-2556, USA

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic and computational details and structural and spectral characterization. Crystallographic details in .cif format. CCDC 1425407–1425409. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c5dt03894d

sively utilized in stabilizing these highly reactive main group species.^{7,11} However, abnormal N-heterocyclic carbene (aNHC, II in Fig. 1)-based low-oxidation-state main group chemistry remains largely undeveloped.³⁴

Since Crabtree's seminal discovery of the first aNHC-based transition metal complexes, 35 aNHC ligands have been actively utilized in organometallic synthesis and catalysis. $36-38$ It is generally accepted that aNHCs, possesses stronger σ-donation capabilities than normal NHCs. Considering the reactive nature of aNHCs (compared to NHCs) Bertrand's isolation of the first stable aNHC represents a remarkable breakthrough in this field.39,40 In contrast to the rapidly developing normal NHC-based low-oxidation-state silicon chemistry, the literature reveals a paucity of studies on aNHC-based complexes. $41,42$ This may be largely due to the lack of convenient access to the corresponding aNHC–silicon halide precursors (to the best of our knowledge, aNHC-based $SiCl₂$ and $SiH₂Cl₂$ complexes represent the rare examples of this type). $41,42$ Thus, development of new synthetic routes of aNHC–silicon halides is important. Herein, we report the syntheses, 43 structures, 43 and computations⁴³ of SiCl₃-substituted (at the C4 carbon) NHC (7) , and its abnormal carbene derivatives $[aNHC : SiCl₄ (8)$ and $aNHC₂SiCl₃⁺Cl⁻(9)].$ **COMMUNICATION**
 Published on 25 November 2015.
 Published on 26 November 2015.
 Cregory H. Robinson⁴⁸ Xerony By University of Georgia on 2016.
 Published and Cregory H. Robinson⁴⁸ Xerony By University of View A

> The anionic N-heterocyclic dicarbene (NHDC, III in Fig. 1) contains both C2 and C4 carbene centres.^{34,44} The first such anionic NHDC ligand (1) was synthesized by this laboratory via C4-lithiation of a NHC ligand [: $C\{N(2,6\text{-}Pr^i{}_2C_6H_3)CH\}_2$], which exhibits a polymeric chain structure.^{45,46} Recently, Lavallo synthesized a series of multi-anionic NHDC ligands, which contain one or two flanking anionic carborane groups. $47,48$ It is noteworthy that NHDC 1 has proven a unique platform from which aNHC-based group 12 and 13 complexes may be conveniently synthesized.⁴⁹⁻⁵¹ When 1 was combined with group 13 and 12 alkyls (i.e., B_{13} and Z_{12}), respectively, only the corresponding C2-lithiated NHDC–BEt₃ (2) and $-ZnEt_2$ (3) adducts were isolated. Reactions of 2 or 3 with electrophiles gave the corresponding aNHC-based borane (4) and zinc complexes, respectively, via salt elimination (the 2-to-4 transformation is shown in Scheme 1; $R = 2.6$ -diisopropyl-

phenyl).49,50 Interestingly, 5, the THF-solvated C4-lithiated isomer of 2, reacts with $GaCl₃$ at low temperature to give an abnormal carbene–GaCl₃ adduct (6 in Scheme 1).⁵¹ Notably, the syntheses of these aNHC-based group 12 and 13 complexes involve anionic NHDC-based precursors (such as 2 and 5).

Herein we report that aNHC–silicon halides (8 and 9) may be conveniently synthesized through a neutral trichlorosilylsubstituted N-heterocyclic carbene (7). The 1 : 1 reaction of 1 with SiCl₄ gave 7 (77.0% yield) (Scheme 2; $R = 2.6$ -diisopropylphenyl). The addition of excess $SiCl₄$ did not result in the complexation of another $SiCl₄$ to the C2 carbon of the imidazole ring in 7. While $aNHC : SiCl₄ (8)$ was synthesized (95.9% yield) by combining 7 with HCl·NEt₃ (in a 1:1 ratio),

Scheme 1 NHDC-based synthesis of 4 and 6.

Scheme 2 NHDC-based synthesis of 7–9 and the reported preparation of 10 and 11.

aNHC₂SiCl₃⁺Cl⁻ (9) was isolated as pale yellow crystalline solid (75.6% yield) by reaction 7 with excess CH_2Cl_2 (Scheme 2). The formation of 8 involves protonation of the C2 carbon of 7 and addition of the Cl[−] anion to the silicon centre in 7. Although the mechanism remains obscure, the formation of 9 may be initiated from the reaction of the C2 carbene centre of 7 with CH_2Cl_2 . Indeed, CH_2Cl_2 has been reported to react with the NHC ligand [:C{N(Mes)CH₂}₂], giving mono-chloro-olefin (10) and the imidazolinium salt (11) (Scheme 2).⁵² The presence of the mono-chloro-olefin byproduct in our case remains obscure since the sticky residue could not be characterized. The ²⁹Si NMR chemical shifts of 8 (-103.6 ppm) and 9 (-102.6 ppm) are comparable to that $(-108.9$ ppm) for L:SiCl₄ (L: = [:C{N(2,6- $\text{Pr}^i_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{)}\text{CH}_2\text{]}$, 25 which, however, shift dramatically upfield with compared to that $(-13.5$ ppm) for 7. Communication

pherygh)^{(ariso} increasingly, 5, the THE-solvered C4 Behaved Society)(2(1) year isolated as pair political increasing information increasing control increasing the properties of the control increasing the

Compound 7 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2/n$. The asymmetric unit contains two molecules of 7 and two THFs (Fig. 2; for clarity, only one molecule of 7 is shown). In 7, the silicon atom is bound to the C4 carbon and adopts a distorted tetrahedral geometry. The $122.6(3)^{\circ}$ C(1)-N (1) –C(16) and 121.9(3)° C(1)–N(2)–C(4) angles of 7 are only marginally smaller than those (123.52°, av) in the $[c{N}(2,6 Pr_2^iC_6H_3)CH_2]$ carbene ligand.⁵³ However, the fact that the C2 carbene centre of 7 is not inclined to bind another $SiCl₄$ may be ascribed to the steric and electronic effects of the trichlorosilyl substituent.

Regarding 8, the $H(1)$ atom residing at $C(1)$ was located from difference Fourier map (Fig. 2). And the silicon centre adopts a trigonal bipyramidal geometry as a result of the equatorial coordination of the aNHC ligand. While the $Si-C_{aNHC}$ bond distance $[1.885(4)$ Å] for 8 is approximately 0.04 Å shorter than that $[1.928(2)$ Å in its normal carbene isomer L:SiCl₄ $(L: = [:C{N(2,6-Prⁱ₂C₆H₃)]CH₂]}²⁵$ the Si-Cl bond distances $[2.0552(19)-2.201(2)$ Å] for 8 compare well to those $[2.0696(6)-]$ 2.1892(5) Å] in the latter. Both the Si-C bond $[1.819(3)$ Å] and the Si–Cl bonds $[2.002(2)-2.011(2)$ \AA] in 7 are obviously shorter than those in 8, L:SiCl₄ (L: = [:C{N(2,6-Prⁱ₂C₆H₃)CH}₂]),²⁵ and 9 $[d_{\text{Si-C}} = 1.895(5)$ Å, av; $d_{\text{Si-C1}} = 2.0529(18) - 2.2475(19)$ Å], respectively.

Single crystal X-ray structural analysis shows that in the solid state two neighbouring 9^+ (i.e., aNHC₂SiCl₃⁺) fragments are connected via C_{NHC}-H…Cl[−] hydrogen bonds [C_{NHC}…Cl[−] distance = 3.267(5) Å, av; C_{NHC}–H…Cl[–] bond angles = 165(5)^o and $171(5)°$],⁵⁴ which results in the supramolecular assembly of 9 as a long chain. The five-coordinate silicon atom in 9 adopts a trigonal bipyramidal geometry with two carbene carbon atoms residing at the equatorial positions (Fig. 2). DFT computations on the simplified model aNHC₂SiCl₃⁺ (aNHC = $[HC{N(Ph)}_2CHC$: $[9-Ph]^+$) show that two isomeric structures (as shown in Fig. 3) may exist.⁴³ The carbon atoms of the two carbene ligands may reside at the axial position (Fig. 3a) or at the equatorial position (Fig. 3b). The [9-Ph]⁺-a isomer is merely 0.05 kcal mol⁻¹ in energy lower than [9-Ph]⁺-b isomer. The equatorial coordination of carbene ligands in 9 (in the solid state) may be ascribed to the steric bulk of the carbene ligands and the packing effects in crystals.

Fig. 2 Molecular structures of (a) 7, (b) 8 and (c) 9. Thermal ellipsoids represent 30% probability: hydrogen atoms on carbon are omitted for clarity, except for those at $C(1)$ and $C(2)$ of 8 and $C(1)$ and $C(28)$ of 9. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) are as follows. For 7, Si(1)– C(3) $1.819(3)$, Si(1)-Cl(1) $2.0109(15)$; C(3)-Si(1)-Cl(1) $108.06(11)$, C(3)-Si(1)–Cl(2) 113.61(12), C(3)–Si(1)–Cl(3) 113.28(12). For 8, Si(1)–C(3) 1.885(4), Si(1)–Cl(1) 2.191(2), Si(1)–Cl(2) 2.0552(19), Si(1)–Cl(3) 2.064(2), Si(1)–Cl(4) 2.201(2); C(3)–Si(1)–Cl(1) 90.52(14), C(3)–Si(1)–Cl(2) 123.18(15), C(3)–Si(1)–Cl(3) 114.70(15), Cl(2)–Si(1)–Cl(3) 122.11(8), Cl(1)– Si(1)–Cl(4) 179.35(9). For 9, Si(1)–C(3) 1.896(5), Si(1)–C(29) 1.893(5), Si(1)–Cl(1) 2.2209(19), Si(1)–Cl(2) 2.2475(19), Si(1)–Cl(3) 2.0529(18); $C(3) - Si(1) - C(29)$ 131.8(2), $Cl(1) - Si(1) - Cl(2)$ 172.44(8), $C(3) - Si(1) - Cl(3)$ 119.26(15), C(29)–Si(1)–Cl(3) 108.89(16), Cl(1)–Si(1)–C(3) 89.19(16).

The axial Cl(1)–Si(1)–Cl(2) bond angle in 9 [172.44(8)^o] is about 10° larger than that in $[9\text{-}Ph]^+$ -b (163.27°) . Meanwhile, the equatorial C(3)–Si(1)–C(29) bond angle in 9 [131.8(2)^o] is about 8° smaller than that in $[9\text{-}Ph]^+$ -b (139.62°) . Thus, the

Fig. 3 The optimized isomeric structures [(a) aNHCs at the axial position; (b) aNHCs at the equatorial position] of the simplified model $[9 - Ph]$ ⁺.

trigonal bipyramidal geometry around the silicon atom in [9-Ph]⁺-b is more distorted than that for 9. The axial Si-Cl bond distances of 9 (2.234 Å, av) are between those for 8 (2.196 Å, av) and those for $[9\text{-}Ph]^+$ -b (2.270 Å, av). The Si-Cl_{eq} bond distance for 9 $[2.0529(18)$ Å] compares well with that in $[9-Ph]$ ⁺-b (2.078 Å) and those in 8 $(2.060 \text{ Å}, \text{av})$. In $[9\text{-}Ph]^+$ -a model, one Si–Cl_{eq} bond (2.175 Å) is somewhat longer than the other two (2.143 Å) . And the C-Si-C axis in $[9\text{-}Ph]^+$ -a is almost linear (the C-Si-C bond angle = 176.07°). Notably, the Si-C_{NHC} bond distances in $[9\text{-}Ph]^+$ -a (1.982 Å) are obviously longer than those in $[9-Ph]^+$ -b (1.918 Å) and in 9 $(1.895 \text{ Å}, \text{av})$. Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis shows that the silicon atoms in [9-Ph]⁺-a and -b conformational isomers bear +1.33 and +1.38 positive charges, respectively.

Conclusions

The anionic NHDC ligand (1) has been utilized in preparing trichlorosilyl-substituted NHC ligand (7). Compound 7 may be employed in synthesizing abnormal carbene–silicon halide complexes (8 and 9). Compounds 8 and 9 provide a unique platform to access aNHC-based low-oxidation-state silicon chemistry, which is presently being explored in this laboratory.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the National Science Foundation for support: CHE-1265212 (G. H. R., Y. W.) and CHE-1361178 (H. F. S.).

Notes and references

- 1 P. P. Power, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1998, 2939–2951.
- 2 P. P. Power, Chem. Rev., 1999, 99, 3463–3503.
- 3 R. C. Fischer and P. P. Power, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 3877–3923.
- 4 Y. Wang and G. H. Robinson, Chem. Commun., 2009, 5201– 5213.
- 5 Y. Wang and G. H. Robinson, Inorg. Chem., 2011, 50, 12326–12337.
- 6 Y. Wang and G. H. Robinson, Dalton Trans., 2012, 41, 337–345.
- 7 Y. Wang and G. H. Robinson, Inorg. Chem., 2014, 53, 11815–11832.
- 8 D. Martin, M. Melaimi, M. Soleilhavoup and G. Bertrand, Organometallics, 2011, 30, 5304–5313.
- 9 C. D. Martin, M. Soleilhavoup and G. Bertrand, Chem. Sci., 2013, 4, 3020–3030.
- 10 D. Martin, M. Soleilhavoup and G. Bertrand, Chem. Sci., 2011, 2, 389–399.
- 11 M. Soleilhavoup and G. Bertrand, Acc. Chem. Res., 2015, 48, 256–266.
- 12 H. Braunschweig and R. D. Dewhurst, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 3574–3583.
- 13 R. S. Ghadwal, R. Azhakar and H. W. Roesky, Acc. Chem. Res., 2013, 46, 444–456.
- 14 C. A. Dyker, V. Lavallo, B. Donnadieu and G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 3206–3209.
- 15 A. Furstner, M. Alcarazo, R. Goddard and C. W. Lehmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 3210–3214.
- 16 M. Alcarazo, C. W. Lehmann, A. Anoop, W. Thiel and A. Furstner, Nat. Chem., 2009, 1, 295–301.
- 17 Y. Xiong, S. L. Yao, S. Inoue, J. D. Epping and M. Driess, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 7147–7150.
- 18 B. Niepotter, R. Herbst-Irmer, D. Kratzert, P. P. Samuel, K. C. Mondal, H. W. Roesky, P. Jerabek, G. Frenking and D. Stalke, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 2766–2770.
- 19 K. C. Mondal, H. W. Roesky, M. C. Schwarzer, G. Frenking, B. Niepotter, H. Wolf, R. Herbst-Irmer and D. Stalke, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 2963–2967. Communication University Research (A. Schellhawenp and G. Schellhawenp and G. Schellhawenp and G. Bernard, 7. Was a November 2015. A tell on 17. A bushness, 19. A bushness, 2015. A bushness, 2015. A bushness, 2015. A bush
	- 20 Y. Xiong, S. L. Yao, G. W. Tan, S. Inoue and M. Driess, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 5004–5007.
	- 21 Y. Li, K. C. Mondal, H. W. Roesky, H. P. Zhu, P. Stollberg, R. Herbst-Irmer, D. Stalke and D. M. Andrada, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 12422–12428.
	- 22 H. Braunschweig, R. D. Dewhurst, K. Hammond, J. Mies, K. Radacki and A. Vargas, Science, 2012, 336, 1420–1422.
	- 23 Y. Li, K. C. Mondal, P. P. Samuel, H. Zhu, C. M. Orben, S. Panneerselvam, B. Dittrich, B. Schwederski, W. Kaim, T. Mondal, D. Koley and H. W. Roesky, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 4168–4172.
	- 24 L. Jin, M. Melaimi, L. Liu and G. Bertrand, Org. Chem. Front., 2014, 1, 351–354.
	- 25 Y. Wang, Y. Xie, P. Wei, R. B. King, H. F. Schaefer III, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, Science, 2008, 321, 1069–1071.
	- 26 K. C. Mondal, P. P. Samuel, H. W. Roesky, R. R. Aysin, L. A. Leites, S. Neudeck, J. Luebben, B. Dittrich, N. Holzmann, M. Hermann and G. Frenking, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 8919–8922.
	- 27 A. Sidiropoulos, C. Jones, A. Stasch, S. Klein and G. Frenking, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 9701– 9704.
	- 28 C. Jones, A. Sidiropoulos, N. Holzmann, G. Frenking and A. Stasch, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 9855–9857.
	- 29 Y. Wang, Y. Xie, P. Wei, R. B. King, H. F. Schaefer III, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 14970–14971.
	- 30 O. Back, G. Kuchenbeiser, B. Donnadieu and G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 5530–5533.
- 31 M. Y. Abraham, Y. Wang, Y. Xie, P. Wei, H. F. Schaefer III, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, Chem. – Eur. J., 2010, 16, 432–435.
- 32 R. Kretschmer, D. A. Ruiz, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold and G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 8176–8179.
- 33 M. Melaimi, M. Soleilhavoup and G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 8810–8849.
- 34 J. B. Waters and J. M. Goicoechea, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2015, 293, 80–94.
- 35 S. Grundemann, A. Kovacevic, M. Albrecht, J. W. Faller and R. H. Crabtree, Chem. Commun., 2001, 2274–2275.
- 36 P. L. Arnold and S. Pearson, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2007, 251, 596–609.
- 37 O. Schuster, L. Yang, H. G. Raubenheimer and M. Albrecht, Chem. Rev., 2009, 109, 3445–3478.
- 38 R. H. Crabtree, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2013, 257, 755–766.
- 39 E. Aldeco-Perez, A. J. Rosenthal, B. Donnadieu, P. Parameswaran, G. Frenking and G. Bertrand, Science, 2009, 326, 556–559.
- 40 D. Mendoza-Espinosa, B. Donnadieu and G. Bertrand, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 7264–7265.
- 41 A. P. Singh, R. S. Ghadwal, H. W. Roesky, J. J. Holstein, B. Dittrich, J.-P. Demers, V. Chevelkov and A. Lange, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 7574–7576.
- 42 A. P. Singh, P. P. Samuel, K. C. Mondal, H. W. Roesky, N. S. Sidhu and B. Dittrich, Organometallics, 2013, 32, 354–357.
- 43 See the ESI† for synthetic, computational, and crystallographic details.
- 44 S. Bellemin-Laponnaz and S. Dagorne, Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 8747–8774.
- 45 Y. Wang, Y. Xie, M. Y. Abraham, P. Wei, H. F. Schaefer III, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 14370–14372.
- 46 Y. Wang, Y. Xie, M. Y. Abraham, P. Wei, H. F. Schaefer III, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, Organometallics, 2011, 30, 1303–1306.
- 47 A. El-Hellani and V. Lavallo, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 4489–4493.
- 48 M. J. Asay, S. P. Fisher, S. E. Lee, F. S. Tham, D. Borchardt and V. Lavallo, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 5359–5362.
- 49 Y. Wang, M. Y. Abraham, R. J. Gilliard Jr., P. Wei, J. C. Smith and G. H. Robinson, Organometallics, 2012, 31, 791–793.
- 50 Y. Wang, Y. Xie, M. Y. Abraham, R. J. Gilliard, P. Wei, C. F. Campana, H. F. Schaefer III, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 10173–10176.
- 51 M. Chen, Y. Wang, R. J. Gilliard, P. Wei, N. A. Schwartz and G. H. Robinson, Dalton Trans., 2014, 43, 14211–14214.
- 52 A. J. Arduengo, F. Davidson, H. V. R. Dias, J. R. Goerlich, D. Khasnis, W. J. Marshall and T. K. Prakasha, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 12742–12749.
- 53 A. J. Arduengo III, R. Krafczyk, R. Schmutzler, H. A. Craig, J. R. Goerlich, W. J. Marshall and M. Unverzagt, Tetrahedron, 1999, 55, 14523–14534.
- 54 B. W. Tresca, L. N. Zakharov, C. N. Carroll, D. W. Johnson and M. M. Haley, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 7240–7242.